

SO₂ and NH₃ Gas Adsorption on a Ternary ZnO/CuO/CuCl₂ Impregnated Activated Carbon Evaluated Using Combinatorial Methods

Jennifer V. Romero,[†] Jock W. H. Smith,[†] Braden M. Sullivan,[†] Lisa M. Croll,[‡] and J. R. Dahn^{*,†}

[†]Department of Physics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 3J5

[‡]3M Canada Company, Brockville, Ontario, Canada K6 V 5 V8

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Ternary libraries of 64 ZnO/CuO/CuCl₂ impregnated activated carbon samples were prepared on untreated or HNO₃-treated carbon and evaluated for their SO₂ and NH₃ gas adsorption properties gravimetrically using a combinatorial method. CuCl₂ is shown to be a viable substitute for HNO₃ and some compositions of ternary ZnO/CuO/CuCl₂ impregnated carbon samples prepared on untreated carbon provided comparable SO₂ and NH₃ gas removal capacities to the materials prepared on HNO₃-treated carbon. Through combinatorial methods, it was determined that the use of HNO₃ in this multigas adsorbent formulation can be avoided.



KEYWORDS: high throughput methods, combinatorial materials science, solutions handling robot, multigas adsorbents, respirator carbons, toxic gas adsorbent materials, gas adsorption capacity, ternary $ZnO/CuO/CuCl_2$ impregnated activated carbon, HNO_3 treatment

1. INTRODUCTION

Multigas carbon materials are granulated activated carbons (GC) impregnated with either metal salts or metal oxides. These materials can filter a wide selection of toxic gases such as sulfur dioxide (SO₂), ammonia (NH₃), hydrogen cyanide, (HCN) and cyanogen (NCCN). Unimpregnated GC, also known as virgin GC, adsorbs volatile organic vapors well in its intricate networks of channels and pores. Virgin GC is a poor adsorbent for low molecular weight, highly polar gases such as HCN, SO₂, and NH₃. With impregnant addition, these gases are chemically retained through the formation of metal salts or metal complexes.¹

In the development of multigas carbon adsorbent materials, it has been established that a good metal or metal oxide distribution on the surface of the carbon, preferably with nanosized particulates, is necessary for good and efficient gas removal. A correlation between the number of surface functional groups and enhanced impregnant distribution has been established.² HNO₃ acts as an oxidizing agent that increases the amount of acidic (i.e., -COOH groups) and other oxygen-containing functional groups on the surface of the carbon.²

The changes in the surface functional groups on the carbon brought about by HNO_3 -treatment result in the formation of highly dispersed particles of the impregnants after the impregnation process.² In addition, these changes were observed to help elevate the NH_3 adsorption capacity of the materials.² A disadvantage of using HNO₃ as a carbon surface modifier for the reduction of impregnant particle size is the overall reduction in humidified organic vapor (OV) capacity.³ However, it was observed that without HNO₃ treatment, the agglomeration of metal impregnants was enhanced and the adsorption capacities of the materials for both NH₃ and SO₂ gases were reduced.²

A library consisting of 64 different combinations of both the ZnO and the CuO impregnants deposited on HNO_3 -treated carbon was reported to have good SO_2 and NH_3 adsorption performance.⁴ Transition metal chlorides such as $CuCl_2$ and ZnCl₂ have been reported to be effective in removing NH_3 gas.^{5,6} No reports have been made on the gas adsorption properties of ternary mixtures of the ZnO/CuO/CuCl₂ impregnated activated carbon (IAC) system; therefore, this study should provide information on the advantages of the co-impregnation with CuCl₂. It is also possible to determine whether or not the HNO₃-treatment step is necessary in this formulation. In addition, mixing the three components could produce other mixed-metal and/or mixed-valent (Cu¹⁺ and/or Cu²⁺) phases that may have enhanced gas adsorption properties.

```
Received:August 2, 2011Revised:October 25, 2011Published:November 30, 2011
```



Figure 1. Overview of the gas adsorption capacities (in mmol gas/g AC) relative to the composition of each material prepared on different types of carbon bases. The size of the circles is proportional to the gas sorption performance. For the ternary samples prepared on virgin GC, the SO₂ capacity, panel (A), and the NH₃ capacity, panel (B), are shown on the top half portion of the diagram. For the samples prepared on HNO₃-treated GC, the SO₂ capacity, panel (C), and the NH₃ capacity, panel (D), of the samples prepared are shown on the bottom half of the diagram. The scale for SO₂ capacities in panels A and C is from 0 to 1.5 mmol SO₂/g AC. The NH₃ capacity scale in panels B and D is from 0 to 3.5 mmol NH₃/ g AC.

Combinatorial methods⁴ were employed to evaluate the performance of these ternary ZnO/CuO/CuCl₂ IAC materials in comparison to the performance of an identical ternary ZnO/CuO/CuCl₂ IAC library prepared on HNO₃-treated GC. These methods were found to work effectively for determining the adsorption capacities of known ZnCl₂, K₂CO₃, and CuO IACs.⁴ This comparison should determine if HNO₃-treatment can be omitted for samples that contain enough CuCl₂ and therefore produce adsorbents with an equivalent SO₂ and NH₃ gas capacity and an improved humid OV adsorption capacity.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The combinatorial method was employed to prepare and study IAC materials.⁴ Arrays of 64 samples with varying compositions were prepared by dispensing incremental amounts of each of the 3 solution components $(Cu(NO_3)_2, Zn(NO_3)_2, and CuCl_2)$ on approximately 10 mg samples of either activated carbon or HNO₃-treated activated carbon held in microvials. The solutions were dispensed over the grains of the carbon using a solutions handling robot (combi robot) and were decomposed to the desired active phases by employing several heating steps. The gas adsorption properties of the impregnated carbon materials were determined gravimetrically after a 4 h exposure to the toxic gas. Software developed in-house was used to visualize the gas adsorption properties in relation to the ternary composition of the impregnants.⁷ The active impregnant phases were determined by powder X-ray diffraction

(XRD). A detailed description of the methodology can be found in the Supporting Information section.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The addition of several impregnants allows a single IAC to effectively remove different toxic gases.^{8–10} In some cases, putting these impregnants together results in compatible adsorption of several toxic gases. For example, SO_2 , NH₃, and HCN gases are adsorbed well onto carbon impregnated with $CuO^{7,11,12}$ or combinations of both ZnO and CuO^4 when prepared on HNO₃-treated GCs. It is also common to observe the loss of adsorption of the material for one or more types of gases when several reactive species are incorporated in an adsorbent. Therefore, the combinatorial method was found suitable for the preparation of multigas adsorbents because it allowed for the quick evaluation of the prepared adsorbent materials.⁴

Earlier studies on the HNO₃-treatment of carbon show the enhanced the distribution of the impregnants leading to a monolayer of impregnant or nanometer sized impregnant grains within the carbon micropores $.^{2,7,13}$ The increase in the number of surface oxide groups after HNO₃-treatment enhances water adsorption and causes a reduction in humid OV capacity which is not desired.^{3,14–19} The combinatorial method was used to determine whether HNO₃-treatment could be replaced by an alternative method that did not negatively impact gas adsorption.



Figure 2. Overview of the stoichiometric ratio of reaction (SRR) relative to the composition of each material prepared on different types of carbon base. The size of the circles is proportional to the gas sorption performance. For the ternary samples prepared on virgin GC, the SO₂ SRR in panel (A) and NH₃ SRR in panel (B) are shown on the top half portion of the diagram. For the samples prepared on HNO₃-treated GC, the SO₂ SRR in panel (C) and the NH₃ SRR in panel (D) of the samples prepared are shown on the bottom half of the diagram. The scale for SO₂ SRRs in panels A and C is from 0 to 1 while the NH₃ SRR scale in panels B and D is from 0 to 2.5.

The selection of impregnants used was made based on their adsorption of SO₂, NH₃, HCN, and NCCN gases. SO₂ is chemically adsorbed onto CuO IACs presumably via the formation of copper sulfate (CuSO₄) from CuO following the reaction described in eqs 1 and $2^{.2,4,7}$ CuO also functions to remove HCN and NCCN from gas streams to form copper cyanide (Cu(CN)₂) following the reaction in eq $3^{.20}$ Cu(CN)₂ is relatively unstable and decomposes to form an equally toxic NCCN gas as shown in eq $4^{.20}$

$$CuO(s) + SO_2(g) \rightarrow CuSO_3(s)$$
 (1)

$$CuSO_3(s) + \frac{1}{2}O_2(g) \rightarrow CuSO_4(s)$$
⁽²⁾

$$CuO(s) + 2HCN(g) \rightarrow Cu(CN)_2(s) + H_2O(l)$$
(3)

$$Cu(CN)_2(s) \rightarrow CuCN(s) + \frac{1}{2}NCCN(g)$$
 (4)

Aside from enhancing impregnant distribution, HNO_3 addition also increases the adsorption capacity for NH_3 .²¹ Using copper(II) chloride (CuCl₂) presents a good alternative to HNO_3 -treatment since it has been reported to effectively chemically adsorb NH_3 gas through the formation of a copper ammonia complex as shown in eq 4.⁵

$$\operatorname{CuCl}_2(s) + 2\operatorname{NH}_3(g) \rightarrow \operatorname{Cu}(\operatorname{NH}_3)_2\operatorname{Cl}_2$$
 (4a)

The addition of $CuCl_2$ in the formulation of the multigas adsorbents enables the use of untreated carbon which is believed to improve the humid OV capacity of these materials while still maintaining good NH_3 adsorption.

 $Zn(NO_3)_2$ decomposes to ZnO after heating the IACs to 200 °C.⁴ This species has been found to effectively adsorb SO₂ gas and is now observed to perform well in NH₃ adsorption.⁴ The inclusion of ZnO in the preparation of the multigas adsorbents should give an additional boost in the adsorption capacity of the material for both SO₂ and NH₃ and should compensate for the removal of HNO₃ in the formulation. In addition, novel mixed-metal or mixed valent phases of impregnants may be produced from the combination of the three components that may also show good gas adsorption properties.

A library consisting of 64 samples containing ZnO and/or CuO was prepared on both the HNO_3 -treated and virgin GC substrates. CuCl₂ was added sequentially across the library to study its impact. The compositions of the library were selected so that all samples contained ZnO and/or CuO which have been shown to adsorb SO₂.⁴

3.1. SO₂ and NH₃ Adsorption of the Prepared ZnO, CuO, and CuCl₂ IACs. The IAC samples were prepared on two types of carbon bases, virgin and HNO₃-treated GC, and were exposed to either SO₂ or NH₃ for 4 h. A comparison of the gas adsorption properties of the IACs prepared on different carbon substrates should determine whether HNO₃-treatment can be avoided without sacrificing gas adsorption performance for example, retention of good SO₂ adsorption without fully

	composition (mmol/g GC)				phases identified in samples using powder XRD		
vial no.	CuO	ZnO	$CuCl_2$	total	unexposed samples	SO ₂ exposed	NH ₃ exposed
1	1.2	0	0	1.2	CuO with disordered C	CuO with disordered C	CuO and CU ₂ O with disordered C
4	0.7	0.5	0	1.2	CuO with disordered C	CuO with disordered C	CuO with disordered C
8	0	1.2	0	1.2	ZnO with disordered C	ZnO with disordered C	ZnO with disordered C
41	1.2	0	0.7	1.9	CuO, $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ with disordered C	CuO with disordered C	CuO and Cu ₂ O with disordered C
44	0.7	0.5	0.7	1.9	CuO with disordered C	CuO with disordered C	CuO, ZnO with disordered C
48	0	1.2	0.7	1.9	ZnO with disordered C	disordered C	ZnO with disordered C
71	1.2	0	1.2	2.4	Cu ₂ Cl(OH) ₃ with disordered C	disordered C	disordered C
74	0.7	0.5	1.2	2.4	CuO, $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ with disordered C	disordered C	CuO with disordered C
78	0	1.2	1.2	2.4	ZnO, $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ with disordered C	disordered C	disordered C

Table 1. Summary of Powder XRD Results Obtained from Representative Samples of Unexposed and Exposed Ternary ZnO/CuO/CuCl₂ IACs Prepared on Virgin GC

dispersed impregnants, and increased NH_3 adsorption with $CuCl_2$ addition. The plots shown in Figure 1 give an indication of the materials' gas adsorption performance.

The SO₂ capacities in Figures 1A and 1C were plotted on a scale of 0 to 1 mmol SO_2/g GC. The NH₃ capacities in Figures 1B and 1D were plotted on a scale of 0 to 3.5 mmol NH_3/g GC. Data points shown at the base of each of the ternary plots represent samples that were impregnated with copper and/or zinc oxides as in $(CuO)_{(1-x)}ZnO_{(x)}$. The sizes of each of the data points along the base of each plot are about the same showing the approximate equivalence of ZnO and CuO impregnants on GC for SO₂ or NH₃ adsorption. Figure 1 shows that the adsorption capacities for the toxic gases of all the samples prepared on either virgin GC or HNO3-treated GC dramatically improved with the addition of CuCl₂. Figure 1 also shows that the samples prepared on virgin GC which contained high amounts CuCl₂ adsorbed about the same amount of gas as the samples with similar compositions prepared on HNO3treated GC. At low concentrations of CuCl₂, the gas adsorption of the samples prepared on HNO3-treated carbon was observed to be higher than that of the corresponding samples prepared on untreated carbon. The highly dispersed nature of the impregnants at low concentrations of CuCl₂ enabled the HNO3-treated materials to perform better than the corresponding untreated samples.

Figure 2 shows the stoichiometric ratio of reaction (SRR) of the samples for both gases. Small values of SRR were measured for samples with no CuCl₂ prepared on virgin GC. Such samples perform poorly as gas adsorbents compared to similar samples prepared on HNO₃-treated GC. Figure 2 shows that increasing the CuCl₂ content of the materials dramatically improved the gas adsorption ability of the samples prepared on virgin GC. The addition of CuCl₂ decreased the SRR of the materials prepared on HNO₃-treated GC. However, materials having high CuCl₂ content on virgin GC performed about the same as materials made on HNO₃-treated GC with similar compositions, suggesting that for samples containing higher concentrations of CuCl₂, HNO₃-treatment can be omitted in the formulation and still provide high SO₂ and NH₃ adsorption.

3.2. Powder XRD of the ZnO, CuO, and CuCl₂ IACs. Representative samples were chosen and analyzed by powder XRD. The compositions and the corresponding sample number of the samples chosen are listed in Table 1. Unexposed samples prepared on HNO₃-treated GC representing samples in Figures 1 and 2 were also analyzed by powder XRD.

Figure 3 shows the XRD patterns obtained for corresponding unexposed samples prepared on HNO₃-treated GC (in red). These patterns did not show any diffraction peaks, indicating



Figure 3. Powder XRD patterns obtained for unexposed samples labeled 1, 4, 8, 41, 44, 48, 71, 74, and 78. ZnO and CuO phases were observed. An additional $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ phase was observed for samples with a high $CuCl_2$ content. The diffraction pattern for corresponding samples prepared on HNO₃-treated GC is also shown (in red).

that the impregnants in these samples are fully dispersed and is consistent with the literature. $^{\rm 22}$

Figure 3 also shows the diffraction patterns obtained for the representative samples prepared on virgin GC in the array (in black). The presence of diffraction peaks in these graphs indicated that the addition of CuCl₂ did not enhance the formation of fully dispersed impregnants on the surface of the carbon. The XRD patterns of unexposed samples 1, 4, and 8, which contained no CuCl₂, are consistent with the presence of only CuO and ZnO with broad humps at 2θ = 26.4 and 2θ = 42.2 associated with the activated carbon support.²³⁻²⁵ ZnO has strong diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 31.8$, $2\theta = 34.4$, and $2\theta =$ 36.3°, and CuO has strong diffraction peaks at 2θ = 35.6 and 2θ = 38.8° , all of which are distinct from the amorphous carbon humps.^{24,25} The presence of the metal oxide phases was expected and were previously observed with all metal nitrate impregnated GCs after heat treatment.² Corresponding samples prepared on HNO3-treated GC show no diffraction peaks which suggests high impregnant distribution on the surface which explains the higher gas adsorption observed

compared with samples prepared on virgin GC (refer to the bottom row data points in Figure 1.)

Samples 41, 44, and 48 contained about 0.37 mol ratio of $CuCl_2$ or 0.7 mmol $CuCl_2/g$ AC as indicated in Table 1. Overall, these samples showed increased gas adsorption relative to the samples that did not contain $CuCl_2$. Samples prepared on HNO₃-treated GC show better gas adsorption than samples prepared on virgin GC. Again, this is due to the presence of metal oxide crystallites on the samples made on virgin GC with the exception of sample 41. The SO₂ gas adsorption appeared to be slightly higher for sample 41 prepared on virgin GC. The diffraction patterns obtained from these samples help explain why.

Figure 4 shows an expanded view of the diffraction occurring between 25 to 45 degrees. Figure 4 clearly shows Cu₂Cl(OH)₃



Figure 4. Expanded view of the XRD patterns of the unexposed samples shown in Figure 3 which highlights the diffraction peaks occurring in the region between 25 and 45 degrees.

is observed in sample 41, which contained no ZnO. $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ has intense diffraction peaks occurring at $2\theta = 16.3$, $2\theta = 32.5$ and $2\theta = 39.9^{\circ}$.²⁶ Figure 4 also shows that only the metal oxide phases were observed in samples 44 and 48. This indicates that the presence of small crystallites of $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ influenced the SO₂ gas adsorption of the material.

Figure 4 also shows that more $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ was observed in samples impregnated with more $CuCl_2$; samples 71 and 74, which also were impregnated with $Cu(NO_3)_2$. Very little, if any, CuO was observed in these samples. This suggests that $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ was formed from a reaction that occurs between $CuCl_2$ and CuO in the presence of moisture.²⁷ Sample 78, which only contained $CuCl_2$ and ZnO still shows some evidence for $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$. The presence of this $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ in samples that did not contain CuO as in vial 78 suggests that a different formation mechanism may be involved. Comparable gas adsorption properties were observed in samples prepared in either virgin GC or HNO_3 -treated GC where $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ phase was observed on the diffraction pattern. The presence of $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ may influence the SO_2 adsorption behavior of the impregnated material through reaction with SO_2 in the presence of moisture to form $CuSO_4$ · xH_2O and possibly $CuCl_2$ · xH_2O .²⁸ However, this is speculation, and any understanding will require further studies. A summary of the observed diffraction peaks and the composition of each sample is given in Table 1.

The diffraction patterns with the view of the scattering angle range between 25 and 45 degrees for SO_2 and NH_3 -exposed samples are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. For the gas



Figure 5. Expanded view of the XRD patterns of the SO_2 exposed samples labeled 1, 4, 8, 41, 44, 48, 71, 74, and 78 which highlights the diffraction peaks occurring in the region between 25 and 45 degrees where only ZnO and CuO phases were observed.

exposed samples, the pattern outside the range indicated in Figures 5 and 6 was identical to that shown in Figure 3. No other XRD peaks were observed outside the specified ranges.

A comparison of the diffraction patterns for unexposed samples with either of the diffraction patterns for the SO₂exposed (Figure 5) or NH₃-exposed (Figure 6) samples indicates that the Cu₂Cl(OH)₃ phase was consumed after gas exposure. Similarly, the metal oxide diffraction peaks in Figure 5 appeared to lower in intensity with increasing CuCl₂ content for the samples exposed to SO₂. This may indicate that more metal oxides were consumed by the chemisorption of SO₂ as more CuCl₂ was added. This is in contrast to the metal oxide diffraction peaks obtained for the NH₃-exposed samples shown in Figure 6 which do not appear to decrease with an increase in the amount of CuCl₂ content. These results are not surprising considering that the metal oxides are expected to chemisorb SO2 and CuCl2 is expected to chemisorb NH3. XRD peaks from Cu₂O were observed in some of the NH₃ exposed samples.

Recall that the calculated SRRs of the samples with high $CuCl_2$ content prepared on virgin GC were about same as the samples prepared on HNO₃-treated GC. Therefore, similar gas adsorption capacities can be achieved despite the differences in impregnant distribution when $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ is present. Comparison of the gas adsorption properties of the materials from the two combinatorial libraries suggest that HNO₃-treatment may be omitted from the formulation of multigas adsorbents. Representative samples prepared on virgin GC will



Figure 6. Expanded view of the XRD patterns of the NH₃ exposed samples labeled 1, 4, 8, 41, 44, 48, 71, 74, and 78 which highlights the diffraction peaks occurring in the region between 25 and 45 degrees. ZnO and CuO phases were observed. The presence of the Cu₂Cl(OH)₃ phase was not observed for samples that were impregnated with CuCl₂ in these NH₃ exposed samples; however, the presence of the Cu₂O diffraction peak was observed in some samples.

be evaluated for HCN/NCCN and humid OV gas adsorption properties.

4. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The combinatorial screening method worked well in screening and comparing the gas adsorption properties of 64 samples with varying mole fractions of ZnO, CuO, and CuCl₂ impregnants prepared on different base carbons. The initial screening of the ZnO, CuO, and CuCl₂ IACs showed promising results. The SO₂ and NH₃ gas adsorption capacities were observed to increase with increased amounts of CuCl₂ in the impregnant when prepared on untreated carbon. The samples that contained high amounts of CuCl₂ performed similarly to the impregnated carbon samples prepared on HNO3-treated GC with the same composition. Powder XRD also revealed the presence of $Cu_2Cl(OH)_3$ in the samples impregnated with high amounts of CuCl₂ which is the likely cause of the improved SO₂ gas adsorption capacity of the materials prepared on virgin GC when compared with materials impregnated only with the metal oxides. Therefore, for the ternary ZnO/CuO/CuCl₂ IACs, CuCl₂ co-impregnation can be used instead of HNO3-treatment to prepare materials with acceptable SO₂ and NH₃ adsorption characteristics. These ternary samples will be evaluated for HCN/NCCN and humid OV adsorption and will be the subject of a separate work.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Detailed description of the combinatorial and screening method, dispense procedures, heat treatment, and characterization. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Research Article

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jeff.dahn@dal.ca.

Funding

The authors thank 3M Canada and NSERC for their financial support.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank David A. Stevens for automating the data recording onto Excel. The authors thank Simon Smith and Larry Brey for sharing valuable insight and experience in the field of activated carbon research. The authors thank Allen Siedle and Tom Wood for useful discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

(1) Bansal, R. C.; Goyal, M. Activated Carbon Adsorption; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2005; pp 1–60.

(2) (a) Westreich, P.; Fortier, H.; Flynn, S.; Foster, S.; Dahn, J. Exclusion of salt solutions from activated carbon pores and the relationship to contact angle on graphite. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2007, *111*, 3680–3684. (b) Smith, J. W. H.; Romero, J. V.; Dahn, T. R.; Dunphy, K.; Sullivan, B.; Mallay, M.; Croll, L. M.; Reynolds, J. H.; Andress, C.; Dahn, J. R. The effect of heating temperature and nitric acid treatments on the performance of Cu- and Zn-based broad spectrum respirator carbons. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2011, 364, 178–194. (c) Smith, J. W. H.; Westreich, P.; Smith, A. J.; Fortier, H.; Croll, L. M.; Reynolds, J. H.; Dahn, J. R. Investigation of copper oxide impregnants prepared from various precursors for respirator carbons. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2010, 341, 162–170.

(3) Lillo-Ródenas, M. A.; Cazorla-Amorós, D.; Linares-Solano, A. Behaviour of activated carbons with different pore size distributions and surface oxygen groups for benzene and toluene adsorption at low concentrations. *Carbon* **2005**, *43*, 1758–1767.

(4) Romero, J. V.; Smith, J. W. H.; White, C. L.; Trussler, S.; Croll, L. M.; Dahn, J. R. A combinatorial approach to screening carbon based materials for respiratory protection. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2010**, *183*, 677–687.

(5) Petit, C.; Karwacki, C.; Peterson, G.; Bandosz, T. J. Interactions of Ammonia with the Surface of Microporous Carbon Impregnated with Transition Metal Chlorides. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**, *111*, 12705–12714.

(6) Fortier, H.; Westreich, P.; Selig, S.; Zelenietz, C.; Dahn, J. R. Ammonia, cyclohexane, nitrogen and water adsorption capacities of an activated carbon impregnated with increasing amounts of ZnCl₂, and designed to chemisorb gaseous NH₃ from an air stream. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2008**, 320, 423–435.

(7) Smith, J. W. H. Investigation of Copper Based Impregnation Recipes for the Optimization of Respirator Carbons. M.S. Thesis, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada, 2008.

(8) Brey, L. A.; Smith, S. J.; Weagle, G. E. Broad spectrum filter system including tungsten-based impregnant and being useful for filtering contaminants from air or other gases. U.S. Patent No. 7,309,513, 2007.

(9) Doughty, D. T.; Groose, J. E. Chromium Free Impregnated Activated Carbon for Adsorption of Toxic Gases and/or Vapors. U.S. Patent No. 5,063,196, 1989.

(10) Doughty, D. T.; Knebel, W. J.; Cobes, J. W., III Chromium-Free Impregnated Activated Universal Respirator Carbon for Adsorption of Toxic Gases and/or Vapors in Industrial Applications. U.S. Patent No. 5,492,882, 1996.

(11) Tseng, H.-H.; Wey, M.-Y. Study of SO_2 adsorption and thermal regeneration over activated carbon-supported copper oxide catalysts. *Carbon* **2004**, *42*, 2269–2278.

(12) Wilson, R. E.; Whetzel, J. C. Impregnated carbon and process of making same. U.S. Patent No. 1,519,470, 1921.

(13) Smith, J. W. H.; Westreich, P.; Croll, L. M.; Reynolds, J. H.; Dahn, J. R. Understanding the role of each ingredient in a basic copper

ACS Combinatorial Science

carbonate based impregnation recipe for respirator carbons. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2009, 337, 313–321.

(14) Gómez-Serrano, V.; Acedo-Ramos, M.; López-Peinado, A. J.; Valenzuela-Calahorro, C. Mass and surface changes of activated carbon treated with nitric acid. Thermal behavior of the samples. *Thermochim. Acta* **1997**, *291*, 109–115.

(15) Shan, X.-M.; Zhu, S. -Q.; Zhang, W.-H. Effect of surface modification of activated carbon on its adsorption capacity for NH_3 . J. China Univ. Min. Technol. (Engl. Ed.) **2008**, 18 (261–265), 274.

(16) Moreno-Castilla, C.; López-Ramón, M. V.; Carrasco-Marín, F. Changes in surface chemistry of activated carbons by wet oxidation. *Carbon* **2000**, *38*, 1995–2001.

(17) Moreno-Castilla, C.; Ferro-Garcia, M. A.; Joly, J. P.; Bautista-Toledo, I.; Carrasco-Marin, F.; Rivera-Utrilla, J. Activated carbon surface modifications by nitric acid, hydrogen peroxide, and ammonium peroxydisulfate treatments. *Langmuir* **2002**, *11*, 4386– 4392.

(18) Brennan, J. K.; Thomson, K. T.; Gubbins, K. E. Adsorption of Water in Activated Carbons: Effects of Pore Blocking and Connectivity. *Langmuir* **2002**, *18*, 5438–5447.

(19) Qi, S.; Hay, K. J.; Cal, M. P. Predicting humidity effect on adsorption capacity of activated carbon for water-immiscible organic vapors. *Adv. Environ. Res.* 2000, *4*, 357–362.

(20) Alves, B. R.; Clark, A. J. An examination of the products formed on reaction of hydrogen cyanide and cyanogen with copper, chromium (6+) and copper-chromium (6+) impregnated activated carbons. *Carbon* **1986**, *24*, 287–294.

(21) Guo, J.; Xu, W. S.; Chen, Y. L.; Lua, A. C. Adsorption of NH_3 onto activated carbon prepared from palm shells impregnated with H_2SO_4 . J. Colloid Interface Sci. **2005**, 281, 285–290.

(22) Smith, J. W. H.; Westreich, P.; Abdellatif, H.; Filbee-Dexter, P.; Smith, A. J.; Wood, T. E.; Croll, L. M.; Reynolds, J. H.; Dahn, J. R. The investigation of copper-based impregnated activated carbons prepared from water-soluble materials for broad spectrum respirator applications. J. Hazard. Mater. **2010**, 180, 419–428.

(23) Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards 2002 *Powder Diffraction Files-2* International Center for Diffraction Data, Newton Square, File # 00–041–1487.

(24) Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards 2002 *Powder* Diffraction Files-2 International Center for Diffraction Data, Newton Square, File # 00–075–1526.

(25) Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards 2002 *Powder Diffraction Files-2* International Center for Diffraction Data, Newton Square, File # 00–089–2529.

(26) Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards 2002 *Powder* Diffraction Files-2 International Center for Diffraction Data, Newton Square, File # 00–070–0821.

(27) Ren, J.; Li, Z.; Liu, S.; Lu, X.; Xie, K. Study on the formation and role of copper chloride hydroxide in the oxidative carbonylation of methanol to dimethyl carbonate. *Kinet. Catal.* **2010**, *51*, 250–254.

(28) Strandberg, H. Reactions of copper patina compounds II. Influence of sodium chloride in the presence of some air pollutants. *Atmos. Environ.* **1998**, *32*, 3521–3526.